

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F. | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/058,350 | | 01/30/2002 | Charles A. Garris III | 54265-301 | 5209 |
| 23626 | 7590 | 10/08/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 6815 WEAVER ROAD | | | | WALBERG, TERESA J | |
| ROCKFOR | | _ | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3742 | • |

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 1/1/ | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/058,350 | GARRIS, CHARLES A. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Teresa J. Walberg | 3742 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-15 and 17-20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that the correct the results of the result | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | application No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/058,350

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Admitted Prior Art in view of Lindner (DT 23 56 500) and Thrush (4,495,387).

The admitted prior art in paragraphs 0003 to 0006 of the present application states that it is known in the art to use an infinite switching type energy regulator in an electric range, and to use an additional switch in the electric range to select between 120 volts and 240 volts to enable heating at low voltage levels and low duty cycles.

The admitted prior art does not disclose the use of a rotatable switch for selecting between voltages and does not disclose the use of a single rotatable shaft to select between voltages and to regulate the duty cycle.

Lindner teaches the use of a rotatable switch for selecting between voltages. See Figs. 1 and 2 and the English language abstract.

Thrush teaches the use of a single rotatable shaft to actuate a plurality of different switches.

It would have been obvious in view of Lindner to use a rotatable switch for voltage selection in the control system of the admitted prior art and to use one rotatable shaft as taught by Thrush to control the voltage selection and the duty cycle regulation in the control

Art Unit: 3742

system of the admitted prior art to reduce the number of external control elements on the appliance.

- 3. Claims 5-15 and 17-20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kirstein is cited to show a rotatable switch with separate switching areas (34 and 35).

Swygert, Holsten et al, Wanner et al, Whelan are cited to show rotary switches. Lindner (DE 2364832) is cited to show a rotatable voltage selector.

Warren et al, Gheer et al, and Peterson are cited to show switching of multiple voltages.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa J. Walberg whose telephone number is 703-308-1327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Toresal Mallorg
Teresa J. Walberg
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742

tjw